

Health Insurance Exchange Working Group  
 Policy Considerations: Coverage for individuals between 133% and 200% FPG

**Basic Health Program**

**Commercial Insurance via the Exchange**

<p>Pro:<sup>1</sup></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ State can determine benefits; coverage must be at least as generous as in Exchange (must cover essential health benefits)</li> <li>✓ State can coordinate coverage with existing programs (e.g. use MinnesotaCare or provide Medicaid look-alike coverage)</li> <li>✓ If the assumption that 95% of premium credits and cost-sharing subsidy is more than state program cost is correct,<sup>2</sup> state has money to use to expand benefits beyond the essential health coverage, or provide more generous cost-sharing than available under the exchange</li> <li>✓ Basic health program criteria in the federal law require various innovative features that could lead to better quality of care</li> </ul> <p>Con:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Determination of 95% of the cost of premium tax credits and cost-sharing subsidies is left to annual determination by the Secretary of HHS (potential for state/federal disagreement)</li> <li>✓ Potential recipient reluctance to obtain coverage from a public plan due to possible stigma</li> <li>✓ Providers could face lower reimbursement rates, if provider reimbursement is based on existing state health care program rates and not private sector rates</li> <li>✓ Possible lack of plan choice – the federal government recommends multiple plans “to the maximum extent feasible” but does not require a state to offer more than one plan</li> <li>✓ May reduce size and therefore bargaining power of the Exchange</li> <li>✓ Requirement to contract with managed care systems may inhibit use of other payment models</li> </ul>	<p>Pro:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ More enrollee plan choices</li> <li>✓ Higher provider payment rates</li> <li>✓ Adds more individuals to the exchange, creating economies of scale and bargaining power</li> <li>✓ Allows enrollees to avoid possible stigma from being covered through a public program</li> <li>✓ Would allow low-income enrollees to have the same coverage choices as other employees of the same employer</li> </ul> <p>Con:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ May be unaffordable even with premium credits and cost-sharing subsidies (states have the option of supplementing federal subsidies)</li> <li>✓ Benefits may be inadequate (states can provide wrap-around coverage, but must cover the cost to enrollees of additional benefits that are beyond the required essential health benefits)</li> <li>✓ Potential difficulty in coordinating the transition of enrollees from coverage under a state public program to private sector coverage</li> </ul>
--	--

<sup>1</sup> See Stan Dorn, Powerpoint: “Maximizing coverage and access to care under PPACA.” State Coverage Initiatives Program, August 2010. [http://www.statecoverage.org/files/04.\\_Dorn\\_-\\_Maximizing\\_Coverage\\_and\\_Access\\_to\\_Care\\_Under\\_PPACA\\_ab.ppt](http://www.statecoverage.org/files/04._Dorn_-_Maximizing_Coverage_and_Access_to_Care_Under_PPACA_ab.ppt)

<sup>2</sup> See Stan Dorn, “State Implementation of National Health Reform: Harnessing Federal Resources to Meet State Policy Goals” State Coverage Initiatives, July 2010, footnote 54, which argues that average annual per capita cost for non-disabled adults on Medicaid is projected to be less than the average projected subsidy in the exchange, and that subsidies for the lowest income adults in the exchange would be higher than this average.

Questions:

- Which approach will enable low-income individuals to transition smoothly between Medicaid or MinnesotaCare and the Exchange, without causing involuntary changes of plan and provider?
- What impact will each option have on the state budget?
- What will the administrative costs be for each option?
- Which option will deliver more comprehensive care and at what cost to taxpayers?
- If a decision is made to establish a Basic Health Program, should the program be based on MinnesotaCare?
- What should happen to MinnesotaCare and the Health Care Access Fund?
- If a decision is made to establish a Basic Health Program, should Minnesota consider negotiating a regional compact with other states?
- If a decision is made to have this population purchase insurance via the Exchange, should the state provide wrap-around coverage or additional state-funded subsidies?

*PPACA PART 4—STATE FLEXIBILITY TO ESTABLISH ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS*

*SEC. 1331. STATE FLEXIBILITY TO ESTABLISH BASIC HEALTH PROGRAMS FOR LOW-INCOME INDIVIDUALS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR MEDICAID.*

*(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a basic health program meeting the requirements of this section under which a State may enter into contracts to offer 1 or more standard health plans providing at least the essential health benefits described in section 1302(b) to eligible individuals in lieu of offering such individuals coverage through an Exchange.*

*(2) CERTIFICATIONS AS TO BENEFIT COVERAGE AND COSTS.— Such program shall provide that a State may not establish a basic health program under this section unless the State establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary, and the Secretary certifies, that—*

*(A) in the case of an eligible individual enrolled in a standard health plan offered through the program, the State provides—*

*(i) that the amount of the monthly premium an eligible individual is required to pay for coverage under the standard health plan for the individual and the individual's dependents does not exceed the amount of the monthly premium that the eligible individual would have been required to pay (in the rating area in which the individual resides) if the individual had enrolled in the applicable second lowest cost silver plan (as defined in section 36B(b)(3)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) offered to the individual through an Exchange; and*

*(ii) that the cost-sharing an eligible individual is required to pay under the standard health plan does not exceed—*

*(I) the cost-sharing required under a platinum plan in the case of an eligible individual with household income not in excess of 150 percent of the poverty line for the size of the family involved; and*

*(II) the cost-sharing required under a gold plan in the case of an eligible individual not described in subclause (I); and*

*(B) the benefits provided under the standard health plans offered through the program cover at least the essential health benefits described in section 1302(b).*

*For purposes of subparagraph (A)(i), the amount of the monthly premium an individual is required to pay under either the standard health plan or the applicable second lowest cost silver plan shall be determined after reduction for any premium tax credits and cost-sharing reductions allowable with respect to either plan.*

*(b) STANDARD HEALTH PLAN.—In this section, the term “standard health plan” means a health benefits plan that the State contracts with under this section—*

*(1) under which the only individuals eligible to enroll are eligible individuals;*

*(2) that provides at least the essential health benefits described in section 1302(b); and*

*(3) in the case of a plan that provides health insurance coverage offered by a health insurance issuer, that has a medical loss ratio of at least 85 percent.*

*(c) CONTRACTING PROCESS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—A State basic health program shall establish a competitive process for entering into contracts with standard health plans under subsection (a), including negotiation of premiums and cost-sharing and negotiation of benefits in addition to the essential health benefits described in section 1302(b).*

*(2) SPECIFIC ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED.—A State shall, as part of its competitive process under paragraph (1), include at least the following:*

*(A) INNOVATION.—Negotiation with offerors of a standard health plan for the inclusion of innovative features in the plan, including—*

*(i) care coordination and care management for enrollees, especially for those with chronic health conditions;*

*(ii) incentives for use of preventive services; and*

*(iii) the establishment of relationships between providers and patients that maximize patient involvement in health care decision-making, including providing incentives for appropriate utilization under the plan.*

*(B) HEALTH AND RESOURCE DIFFERENCES.—Consideration of, and the making of suitable allowances for, differences in health care needs of enrollees and differences in local availability of, and access to, health care providers. Nothing in this subparagraph shall be construed as allowing discrimination on the basis of pre-existing conditions or other health status-related factors.*

*(C) MANAGED CARE.—Contracting with managed care systems, or with systems that offer as many of the attributes of managed care as are feasible in the local health care market.*

*(D) PERFORMANCE MEASURES.—Establishing specific performance measures and standards for issuers of standard health plans that focus on quality of care and improved health outcomes, requiring such plans to report to the State with respect to the measures and standards, and making the performance and quality information available to enrollees in a useful form.*

*(3) ENHANCED AVAILABILITY.—*

*(A) MULTIPLE PLANS.—A State shall, to the maximum extent feasible, seek to make multiple standard health plans available to eligible individuals within a State to ensure individuals have a choice of such plans.*

*(B) REGIONAL COMPACTS.—A State may negotiate a regional compact with other States to include coverage of eligible individuals in all such States in agreements with issuers of standard health plans.*

*(4) COORDINATION WITH OTHER STATE PROGRAMS.—A State shall seek to coordinate the administration of, and provision of benefits under, its program under this section with the State medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act, the State child health plan under title XXI of such Act, and other State-administered health programs to maximize the efficiency of such programs and to improve the continuity of care.*

*(d) TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO STATES.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines that a State electing the application of this section meets the requirements of the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary shall transfer to the State for each fiscal year for which 1 or more standard health plans are operating within the State the amount determined under paragraph (3).*

*(2) USE OF FUNDS.—A State shall establish a trust for the deposit of the amounts received under paragraph (1) and amounts in the trust fund shall only be used to reduce the premiums and cost-sharing of, or to provide additional benefits for, eligible individuals enrolled in standard health plans within the State. Amounts in the trust fund, and expenditures of such amounts, shall not be included in determining the amount of any non-Federal funds for purposes of meeting any matching or expenditure requirement of any federally-funded program.*

*(3) AMOUNT OF PAYMENT.—*

*(A) SECRETARIAL DETERMINATION.—*

*(i) IN GENERAL.—As revised by section 10104(o)(1) The amount determined under this paragraph for any fiscal year is the amount the Secretary determines is equal to 95 percent of the premium tax credits under section 36B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and the cost-sharing reductions under section 1402, that would have been provided for the fiscal year to eligible individuals enrolled in standard health plans in the State if such eligible individuals were allowed to enroll in qualified health plans through an Exchange established under this subtitle.*

*(ii) SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall make the determination under clause (i) on a per enrollee basis and shall take into account all relevant factors necessary to determine the value of the premium tax credits and cost-sharing reductions that would have been provided to eligible individuals described in clause (i), including the age and income of the enrollee, whether the enrollment is for self- only or family coverage, geographic differences in aver-age spending for health care across rating areas, the health status of the enrollee for purposes of determining risk adjustment payments and reinsurance payments that would have been made if the enrollee had enrolled in a qualified health plan through an Exchange, and whether any reconciliation of the credit or cost-sharing reductions would have occurred if the enrollee had been so enrolled. This determination shall take into consideration the experience of other States with respect to participation in an Exchange and such credits and reductions provided to residents of the other States, with a special focus on enrollees with income below 200 percent of poverty.*

*(iii) CERTIFICATION.—The Chief Actuary of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, in consultation with the Office of Tax Analysis of the Department of the Treasury, shall certify whether the methodology used to make determinations under this subparagraph, and such determinations, meet the requirements of clause (ii). Such certifications shall be based on sufficient data from the State and from comparable States about their experience with programs created by this Act.*

*(B) CORRECTIONS.—The Secretary shall adjust the payment for any fiscal year to reflect any error in the determinations under subparagraph (A) for any preceding fiscal year.*

*(4) APPLICATION OF SPECIAL RULES.—The provisions of section 1303 shall apply to a State basic health program, and to standard health plans offered through such program, in the same manner as such rules apply to qualified health plans.*

*(e) ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUAL.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—In this section, the term “eligible individual” means, with respect to any State, an individual—*

*(A) who a resident of the State who is not eligible to enroll in the State’s medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act for benefits that at a minimum consist of the essential health benefits described in section 1302(b);*

*(B) As revised by section 10104(o)(2) whose household income exceeds 133 percent but does not exceed 200 percent of the poverty line for the size of the family involved, or, in the case of an alien lawfully present in the United States, whose income is not greater than 133 percent of the poverty line for the size of the family involved but who is not eligible for the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act by reason of such alien status;*

*(C) who is not eligible for minimum essential coverage (as defined in section 5000A(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) or is eligible for an employer-sponsored plan that is not affordable coverage (as determined under section 5000A(e)(2) of such Code); and*

*(D) who has not attained age 65 as of the beginning of the plan year.*

*Such term shall not include any individual who is not a qualified individual under section 1312 who is eligible to be covered by a qualified health plan offered through an Exchange.*

*(2) ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS MAY NOT USE EXCHANGE.—An eligible individual shall not be treated as a qualified individual under section 1312 eligible for enrollment in a qualified health plan offered through an Exchange established under section 1311.*

*(f) SECRETARIAL OVERSIGHT.—The Secretary shall each year conduct a review of each State program to ensure compliance with the requirements of this section, including ensuring that the State program meets—*

*(1) eligibility verification requirements for participation in the program;*

*(2) the requirements for use of Federal funds received by the program; and*

*(3) the quality and performance standards under this section.*

*(g) STANDARD HEALTH PLAN OFFERORS.—A State may provide that persons eligible to offer standard health plans under a basic health program established under this section may include a licensed health maintenance organization, a licensed health insurance insurer, or a network of health care providers established to offer services under the program.*

*(h) DEFINITIONS.—Any term used in this section which is also used in section 36B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall have the meaning given such term by such section.*